

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/069,263	BLOM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tamra L. Dicus	1774	

All Participants:

(1) Tamra L. Dicus.

(2) J. Murtah.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 February 2005

Time: 2:30 p.m.

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Declaration of Bloom

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant explained that Example 1 is what the prior art shows and Example 2 is Applicant's invention. In paragraph 12, the 0.03 g/m² of TiO₂ is not subtracted from the 1.97 g/m² of TiO₂ in Example 2 (paragraph 4), but is subtracted from 2.0 g/m² of TiO₂ in Example 2, paragraph 3, and substituted with 0.03 g/m² of aluminum powder to show aluminum powder results in complete opacity (Example 2, paragraph 12)..